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What is This?
No Association Between Fibrosis on Magnetic Resonance Imaging at Return to Play and Hamstring Reinjury Risk

Gustaaf Reurink, MD, Emad Almusa, MD, Gert Jan Goudswaard, MD, Johannes L. Toi, MD, PhD, Bruce Hamilton, MD, PhD, Maarten H. Moen, MD, PhD, Adam Weir, MD, PhD, Jan A.N. Verhaar, MD, Prof., and Mario Maas, MD, Prof.

Investigation performed at Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, and Aspetar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital, Doha, Qatar

**Background:** Connective tissue scar (fibrosis) is a common finding on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) after recovery from acute hamstring injuries. Fibrosis has been suggested as a predisposing factor for reinjury, but evidence from clinical studies is lacking.

**Purpose/Hypothesis:** The aim of this study was to examine the association between the presence of fibrosis on MRI at return to play after an acute hamstring injury and the risk of reinjury. The hypothesis was that fibrous tissue on MRI was associated with an increased reinjury risk.

**Study Design:** Cohort study; Level of evidence, 3.

**Methods:** Magnetic resonance images were obtained from 108 consecutive athletes with modified Peetrons classification grade 1 or 2 hamstring injuries within 5 days of injury and within 7 days of return to play. The presence and extent of abnormally low signal intensity in the intramuscular tissue on MRI, suggestive of fibrosis, were assessed on both T1- and T2-weighted images. Reinjuries were recorded over a 1-year follow-up period. The association between fibrosis and reinjury risk was analyzed with a Cox proportional hazards model.

**Results:** The MRIs of the initial injury showed 45 (43%) grade 1 and 63 (57%) grade 2 injuries. Median time of return to play was 30 days (interquartile range [IQR], 22-42 days). At return to play, 41 athletes (38%) had fibrosis on MRI with a median longitudinal length of 5.8 cm (IQR, 3.3-12.5 cm) and a median volume of 1.5 cm³ (IQR, 1.5-3.9 cm³). In athletes with fibrosis, 24% (10/41) sustained a reinjury, and in the subjects without fibrosis, 24% (16/67) had a reinjury, resulting in a hazard ratio of 0.95 (95% CI, 0.43-2.1; \( P = .898 \)).

**Conclusion:** Fibrosis is commonly seen on MRI at return to play after grade 1 or 2 hamstring injuries but is not associated with reinjury risk.
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Acute hamstring injuries are the most common injuries in sports such as football, track and field, and Australian rules football.1,10,24 These injuries have a high rate of reinjury, ranging from 14% to 63% in the first year after return to play (RTP).6,8,25,32 Reinjuries are often more severe than the initial injury and are associated with a longer absence from play.6,10 A premature RTP17,23 and scar tissue formation13,17,22,33,34 are the most frequently suggested predisposing factors for reinjury.

Muscle regeneration after injury follows a fairly constant sequence of degeneration, inflammation, and regeneration.13,19 From 2 to 3 days after injury, formation of a connective tissue scar (fibrosis) occurs at the site of the injury. During the following weeks, regenerating myofibers begin to penetrate, and the fibrous tissue diminishes in size over time.13,19 While formation of fibrous tissue is an essential component of muscle healing, excessive formation is suggested to impair functional recovery.13,18,19,34 Persisting fibrosis has been shown to alter muscle contraction mechanics, generating greater tissue strain near the site of prior musculotendinous junction injury of the biceps femoris.34 While these theoretical arguments suggest that fibrosis may predispose an athlete to reinjury, a recent systematic review highlighted that no clinical studies have examined the association between fibrosis and hamstring reinjury.8

Previous studies have shown that fibrosis, which has predominately low signal intensity on all sequences on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), is common in grade 1 and 2 hamstring injuries at RTP29,35 and can persist in the long term.31,33 A recent observational study reported that 42% of hamstring injuries had fibrosis on MRI at RTP.29 Because of the limited number of reinjuries, there was insufficient power to study any association between the presence of fibrous tissue and the risk of reinjury. We therefore conducted the present study with a larger sample.
The aim of this study was to examine the association between MRI-determined fibrosis and the risk of reinjury in athletes who have clinically recovered from an acute hamstring injury. Our hypothesis was that fibrous tissue on MRI was associated with an increased reinjury risk.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

The patients in this study were pooled from 2 double-blind, randomized controlled trials on the effect of platelet-rich plasma in hamstring injuries (Dutch trial register number 2771 and ClinicalTrial.gov number NCT01812564). The first was completed in November 2013 (Dutch cohort). In the first study, the intervention group received two 3-mL ultrasound-guided injections of platelet-rich plasma (Autologous Conditioned Plasma, Biocore; Arthrex Inc) and the control group received two 3-mL injections of saline at the site of the injury. This study found no difference in time to RTP and reinjury rate between the group that received PRP injections and the control group that received saline injections.

The second randomized controlled trial is an ongoing study that started in November 2009 (Qatari cohort). In this study, subjects were randomized into 3 groups: 1 group received a 3-mL injection of platelet-rich plasma (Biomet Recover), 1 group received a 3-mL injection of plateletpoor plasma, and 1 group received no injection. All subjects completed a standardized physical therapy program, including range of motion exercises, progressive strength exercises, core stability training, and agility exercises.

The eligibility criteria for the present study are presented in Table 1. In the Dutch cohort, the functional criteria-based rehabilitation program was supervised by a sports physical therapist. Clearance for RTP was given once the athlete successfully completed the physical therapy program and functional sport-specific activities. In the Qatari cohort, the guideline criteria to assist RTP decision included successfully and asymptptomatically completing the functional criteria–based physical therapy program, including a final supervised sport-specific (outdoor) training phase. After RTP clearance, athletes were advised to complete 5 days of team training before participating in partial match play.

At inclusion, informed consent was obtained from all patients. Approval was obtained from the Regional Ethical Committee of South West Holland and the Ethical Committee of Aspetar, Qatar Orthopaedics and Sports Medicine Hospital.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

In each subject, an MRI of the injury was performed twice: once within 5 days from the time of initial injury and again within 1 week of RTP. The MRI of the initial injury was performed before any injection procedure.

**MRI Protocol.** Two comparable MRI protocols were used. The protocol in the Dutch cohort was a modified version of the protocol described by Askling et al. To locate the area of the injury, the entire hamstring of the injured limb was visualized by obtaining coronal and sagittal short-tau inversion recovery (STIR) images from the ischial origin of the hamstring muscles to insertion on the fibula and the tibia (repetition time [TR]/echo time [TE], 3500/31 ms; field of view [FOV], 300 mm; matrix, 256 × 320). Subsequently, transverse STIR (TR/TE, 3500/31 ms; FOV, 300 mm; matrix, 205 × 256), T1-weighted images (TR/TE, 500/12 ms; FOV, 300 mm; matrix, 355 × 448), and T2-weighted images (TR/TE, 4080/128 ms; FOV, 300 mm; matrix, 355 × 448) were obtained from the injured area. The slice thickness for all sequences was 5 mm. The MRI scans were obtained with a 1.5-T magnet system (Magnetom Essenza, Siemens) with the use of a body matrix coil.

In the Qatari cohort, MRI of the hamstring muscles was conducted with a 1.5-T magnet system (Magnetom Esspy, Siemens) with the use of a body matrix coil. First, coronal and transverse proton density (PD) weighted images (TR/TE, 3000/30 ms; FOV, 220-240 mm; slice thickness, 5 mm; matrix, 333 × 512) were obtained. Subsequently, coronal and transverse proton density fat saturation (PD-FS) images were obtained (TR/TE, 3000+/-30 ms; FOV, 220-320 mm; slice thickness, 3.5 mm; matrix, 326 × 512 [coronal] and 333 × 512 [transverse]).

**MRI Assessment.** Each MRI was assessed by 1 of 2 radiologists, each with more than 9 years of experience in musculoskeletal radiology (E.A. and M.M.). The radiologists were blinded as to whether the MRI was conducted at the time of the initial injury or at RTP. For assessment of the MRIs, we used standardized scoring forms based on the literature. Increased T2 signal intensity (edema) for the affected hamstring muscle was measured in the craniocaudal, transverse, and anterior-posterior dimensions on fluid-sensitive sequences (STIR or PD-FS). We recorded the longitudinal length (craniocaudal) and transverse width of the injured muscle at each slice. We calculated the area of fibrosis in each slice from these measurements, using the formula for the area of an ellipse. The total area of fibrosis was then calculated by adding the area of fibrosis in each slice. The total area of fibrosis was then calculated by adding the area of fibrosis in each slice. The total area of fibrosis was then calculated by adding the area of fibrosis in each slice. We used the total area of fibrosis to calculate the percentage of fibrosis.
Inclusion criteria
- Age, 18-50 years
- Clinical diagnosis of acute hamstring injury
- Initial MRI within 5 days of injury
- MRI-confirmed grade 1 or 2 hamstring lesion
- Second MRI within 1 week of RTP
- MRI-confirmed grade 1 or 2 hamstring lesion
- Second MRI within 1 week of RTP
- Age, 18-50 years
- Acute onset of posterior thigh pain
- Initial MRI within 5 days of injury
- MRI-confirmed grade 1 or 2 hamstring lesion
- Sex: male
- Available to perform 5 sessions of physical therapy per week at the clinic
- Available for follow-up

Exclusion criteria
- Contraindication for MRI
- Chronic hamstring injury
- Chronic low back pain
- Cause of injury is an extrinsic trauma
- Not capable of performing rehabilitation
- No intention to return to full sports activity
- Unwilling to receive the intramuscular injections
- Injection therapy received for this injury before
- Contraindication for MRI
- Reinjury or chronic hamstring injury
- Concurrent other injury inhibiting rehabilitation
- Unwilling to comply with follow-up
- Needle phobia
- Overlying skin infection
- Diabetes, immune-compromised state
- Medication increasing bleeding risk (eg, clopidogrel)
- Medical contraindication to injection

Fibrosis
We defined fibrosis as an area of abnormally low signal intensity in the intramuscular tissue compared with the surrounding muscle tissue. We calculated the involved cross-sectional area as a percentage of the total muscle cross-sectional area in the transverse plane. We measured the extent of low signal on T1-weighted images similarly in the 3 planes. We recorded the involved muscle(s) and performed grading of the injury using a modification of the Peetrons classification\textsuperscript{11,26}: grade 0 = clinical diagnosis of an acute muscle injury without MRI abnormality, grade 1 = increased signal intensity on fluid-sensitive sequences without evidence of a macroscopic tear, grade 2 = increased signal intensity on fluid-sensitive sequences with a partial tear, and grade 3 = total muscle or tendon rupture. Increased signal intensity was defined as an abnormally increased signal in the intramuscular tissue compared with the unaffected surrounding muscle tissue. Low signal intensity was defined as abnormally low signal intensity in the intramuscular tissue compared with the surrounding muscle tissue.

Reinjury
Subjects were followed for reinjuries until 1 year after onset of the initial injury. In the Dutch cohort, players were advised to immediately contact the coordinating researcher in the event of a suspicion of reinjury, and reinjury occurrence was monitored at 4, 8, 16, 26, and 52 weeks with telephone calls to the subjects. Acute onset of posterior thigh pain that occurred at the same side as the initial injury and caused absence from play was recorded as a reinjury.\textsuperscript{10} In the Qatari cohort, reinjury occurrence was monitored monthly with telephone calls to the subjects; in the event of a clinical suspicion of reinjury, the player was advised to immediately consult the study center. Acute hamstring injuries in the same leg were classified as reinjuries.

Statistical Analysis
We performed all statistical analysis with SPSS software (version 20.0; IBM Corp). We used descriptive statistics to present the patient and MRI characteristics. Parametric data are presented as mean and standard deviation, and nonparametric data are presented as median and interquartile range (IQR).

We analyzed the association between the MRI findings at RTP and reinjuries with a Cox proportional hazards regression model. In this model, the time (days) from return to play to the event (reinjury) or the end of the follow-up is the main variable. Subjects who sustained a severe injury (causing absence from training and matches >28 days\textsuperscript{10,14}) during follow-up that was not considered a hamstring reinjury were censored at the time of this injury. Subjects lost to follow-up were censored at the time of their last available follow-up. Subjects completing the 1-year follow-up were censored at the time of the last follow-up measure. Time-to-reinjury curves were calculated with the Kaplan-Meier method.

We first analyzed the association between presence of fibrous tissue on MRI at RTP and reinjuries in an univariate...
model. Additionally, we performed a multivariate analysis in which we adjusted for ipsilateral hamstring injuries in the preceding 12 months and the cohort of the subject (Dutch or Qatari). We decided a priori to adjust for these variables, because a history of hamstring injury was previously reported as a predictor for reinjury\(^9,40\) and because patients from 2 different cohorts may potentially lead to bias. We considered \(P < .05\) to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Between November 2009 and July 2014, we included 108 consecutive patients in the analysis. Patient characteristics and MRI findings of the initial injury obtained within 5 days after injury are presented in Table 2. The median time to RTP was 30 days (IQR, 22-42 days). The median time between the second MRI and RTP was 2 days (IQR, 0-4 days). The median time between the initial injury and MRI at RTP was 32 days (IQR, 23-46).

No patients were lost to follow-up. During follow-up after RTP, 4 patients sustained an injury that was not considered a reinjury but caused absence from training and matches more than 28 days, and these patients were censored in the survival analysis at the time of this injury. Of these 4 censored patients, there was 1 contralateral hamstring injury, 1 knee sprain, 1 ankle fracture, and 1 hip labral injury.

**TABLE 2**

**Patient and Injury Characteristics\(^a\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Patients (N = 108)</th>
<th>Dutch Cohort (n = 63)</th>
<th>Qatari Cohort (n = 45)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, mean ± SD</td>
<td>28 ± 7</td>
<td>29 ± 7</td>
<td>26 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female</td>
<td>105 (97)/3 (3)</td>
<td>60 (95)/3 (5)</td>
<td>45 (100)/0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>76 (70)</td>
<td>45 (71)</td>
<td>31 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field hockey</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
<td>10 (16)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futsal (indoor football)</td>
<td>9 (8)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>8 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track and field</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6 (5)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of sports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>44 (41)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>44 (98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive</td>
<td>48 (44)</td>
<td>48 (76)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational</td>
<td>16 (15)</td>
<td>15 (24)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ipsilateral hamstring injury in previous 12 mo</strong></td>
<td>22 (20)</td>
<td>18 (29)</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRI characteristics of initial injury</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary injured muscle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps femoris long head</td>
<td>88 (82)</td>
<td>56 (89)</td>
<td>32 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps femoris short head</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semimembranosus</td>
<td>16 (15)</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>11 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semitendinosus</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Injury classification, grade 1/grade 2(^b)</strong></td>
<td>45 (43/63 (57)</td>
<td>18 (29/45 (71)</td>
<td>45 (43/63 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-hyperintensity, median [IQR]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal length cm</td>
<td>12.9 [8.3-17.6]</td>
<td>11.0 [6.6-15.4]</td>
<td>15.0 [6.6-22.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intramuscular fibrosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>96 (89)</td>
<td>53 (84)</td>
<td>43 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
<td>10 (16)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Values are reported as n (%) unless otherwise indicated. IQR, interquartile range; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

\(^b\)According to modified Peetrons classification.\(^11,26\)

**Findings of Fibrosis on MRI at RTP**

Abnormally low signal intensity in the intramuscular tissue, suggestive of fibrosis, was present in 38% of the follow-up MRIs obtained at RTP. Typical examples of fibrosis seen on MRI are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The characteristics of the low signal intensity findings on MRI are presented in Table 3.

**Association of Fibrosis With Reinjury**

There were 26 reinjuries during the follow-up, 19 of 63 (30%) in the Dutch cohort and 7 of 45 (16%) in the Qatari cohort. Of these, 25 (96%) of the initial injuries were located in the biceps femoris and 1 (4%) in the semimembranosus. Sixteen of 67 (24%) subjects without fibrosis on MRI and 10 of 41 (24%) subjects with fibrosis on MRI sustained a reinjury. The cumulative incidences of the reinjuries of subjects with and without fibrosis are presented in Figure 3. The univariate Cox regression showed no significant association of MRI-detected fibrosis with reinjury, as the hazard ratio was 0.95 (95% CI, 0.43-2.1; \(P = .898\)). In the multivariate analysis, the hazard ratio adjusted for ipsilateral injuries in the preceding 12 months and the cohort of the subjects was 1.3 (95% CI, 0.55-2.8; \(P = .591\)).
DISCUSSION

We found no association between fibrosis at RTP and reinjury risk after acute grades 1 and 2 hamstring injury. This is the first clinical study examining the association between MRI-detected fibrosis and reinjury risk in acute muscle injury. The finding that fibrosis on MRI at RTP is not associated with reinjury risk is clinically relevant, as it is a common finding at RTP (38% in this study), and fibrosis has historically been considered an important predisposing factor for reinjury.13,17-19,33,34 Silder et al34 showed that postinjury fibrosis alters muscle contraction mechanics and may therefore theoretically predispose to injury. Using a dynamic MRI technique, the investigators measured muscle tissue velocities in

**TABLE 3**
Characteristics of Abnormally Low Signal Intensity in the Intramuscular Tissue (Fibrous Tissue) on MRI Within 7 Days of RTP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All Patients (N = 108)</th>
<th>Patients Without Reinjury (n = 82; 76%)</th>
<th>Patients With Reinjury (n = 26; 24%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intramuscular fibrosis, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>67 (62)</td>
<td>51 (62)</td>
<td>16 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>41 (38)</td>
<td>31 (38)</td>
<td>10 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle with fibrosis, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps femoris long head</td>
<td>36 (88)</td>
<td>26 (84)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semimembranosus</td>
<td>5 (12)</td>
<td>5 (16)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semitendinosus</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of fibrosis, b median [IQR]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal length, cm</td>
<td>5.8 [3.3-12.5]</td>
<td>6.5 [4.0-14.5]</td>
<td>3.3 [2.5-7.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length on axial view, cm</td>
<td>1.0 [0.6-1.3]</td>
<td>1.0 [0.7-1.4]</td>
<td>0.7 [0.5-1.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width on axial view, cm</td>
<td>0.5 [0.3-0.7]</td>
<td>0.5 [0.3-0.7]</td>
<td>0.4 [0.2-0.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume, cm³</td>
<td>1.5 [0.5-3.9]</td>
<td>2.0 [0.7-3.9]</td>
<td>0.4 [0.2-4.2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aIQR, interquartile range; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; RTP, return to play. bMeasured on T1-weighted images.

**Figure 1.** (A and B) Images of the initial injury: semimembranosus. The short-tau inversion recovery (STIR) image (A) shows increased signal intensity at the musculotendinous junction of the semimembranosus muscle (arrow). (C and D) Images at return to play 50 days after the initial injury. Both (C) STIR and (D) T1-weighted images showing an extensive area of low signal intensity, indicating fibrous tissue formation (arrow). The fluid-sensitive STIR image (C) shows a halo of increased signal intensity around the area of low signal.

**Figure 2.** (A and B) Images of the initial injury: biceps femoris. The short-tau inversion recovery (STIR) image (A) shows increased signal intensity at the musculotendinous junction of the biceps femoris muscle (arrow). (C and D) Images at return to play 34 days after the initial injury. Both (C) STIR and (D) T1-weighted images show a small area of low signal intensity, indicating fibrous tissue formation (arrow). The fluid-sensitive STIR image (C) shows a halo of increased signal intensity around the area of low signal.
you consider an injury at a different location within the same hamstring muscle group as the index injury or as an additional injury? We argue that the hamstring muscle group acts as one functional anatomic unit and that a reinjury at a different location within the same muscle cannot be considered to be independent of the index injury. We therefore classify each acute hamstring injury that occurs in the same leg as the index injury as a reinjury. This definition is in accordance with the recommendation on injury definitions in studies of football injuries from the Injury Consensus Group under the auspices of the FIFA (Fédération Internationale de Football Association) Medical Assessment and Research Centre\textsuperscript{12} and is applied in the current literature on hamstring injuries.\textsuperscript{9,11,27-29,35} Additionally, this definition reflects what is experienced by the athlete and the medical staff: an injury to the same hamstring that keeps the player out of play.

As we did not perform MRI of the reinjury, it remains unknown to what extent reinjury occurs at the same site within the hamstring muscle group as the index injury. This could be assessed in future research.

Strengths and Limitations

The methodological strengths of our study include the prospective study design, the minimization of bias by blinding of the radiologists, the relatively long-term follow-up of 1 year, and the use of multivariate analysis to correct for potential confounding of previous injury and confounding of the use of 2 different cohorts.

Our study also has some limitations. First, the subjects were participants in 2 randomized controlled trials on the effect of platelet-rich plasma injections in grade 1 and 2 injuries. The effect of these injections on muscle healing is still unknown. Some investigators suggest a potential fibrotic effect of transforming growth factor–β1, one of the platelet-derived growth factors.\textsuperscript{5,12}

Second, we analyzed 2 cohorts with some differences in MRI protocols and in criteria for clearance for RTP. This can be considered a limitation of the study, although it actually increases the external validity and generalizability for clinical practice where heterogeneous RTP criteria and MRI protocols are used. Adjusting for the cohort of the subjects in the analysis did not significantly change the outcome (hazard ratio).

Third, although low signal intensity in the intramuscular tissue on MRI is generally considered to reflect fibrous tissue,\textsuperscript{20,21,33,34} the exact nature of this low signal intensity is unknown, as correlation with histopathological data is lacking.

Fourth, no data are available on the reliability of assessing low signal intensities (fibrosis) on MRI. Measures of increased signal intensity (edema) on MRI have excellent inter- and intraobserver reliability,\textsuperscript{15} but the extent to which this can be generalized to the assessment of low signal intensities remains unknown.

Fifth, although the number of subjects and reinjuries is relatively large compared with previously published series on hamstring injuries,\textsuperscript{3,30,35,39,49} with 26 reinjuries only a moderate to strong association between fibrosis and reinjury could be detected.\textsuperscript{4} To detect a weaker
association, a larger sample size is required. To show a small to moderate association, 200 reinjuries would be needed.4 Considering that the reinjury rate in the current study was 24%, this would require more than 800 subjects. However, large sample sizes can lead to statistically significant, but clinically irrelevant, weak associations.

In conclusion, fibrosis on MRI at RTP after grade 1 or 2 hamstring injuries is not associated with reinjury risk.
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